Using translational tumor growth inhibition modeling approach and population PK analysis to predict efficacious doses for KN026, a HER2 bispecific antibody 康宁杰瑞

Dongmei Ji¹, Yuan Xiong³, Jing Yang², Pilin Wang², Fei Yang², Junfang Xu² ¹ Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center; ² Jiangsu Alphamab Oncology; ³ Certara Strategic Consulting; contact author junfangxu @alphamabonc.com

ABSTRACT

KN026 is a bispecific antibody simultaneously targeting the extracellular domains II and IV of the human HER2 (Figure 1). It blocks both liganddependent and ligand-independent HER2 signaling pathway. The IgG1 Fc fragment of KN026 binds FcRgIIIa mediates potent ADCC and inhibits tumor cell proliferation. Clinical translation of efficacious dosing regimens in patients were then decided basedbispecific antibody could be challenging due to altered target engagement and difference between preclinical and clinical tumors. Here we present a joint translational and population PK and exposure-response (ER) modeling framework incorporating preclinical and clinical data from a bispecific antibody to predict recommended Phase 2 doses (RP2Ds). Recommended on the target concentrations from the translational model and population PK analysis in patients and further validated by preliminary human efficacy data.

OBJECTIVES

The goal of the present work is to predict efficacious doses for KN026 for the treatment of HER2-positive solid tumors using a translational tumor growth inhibition model based on tumor growth and sparse concentration data from mouse followed by a human population PK analysis.



Property by Alphamab Oncology. The poster may not be published, posted online, or used in commercial presentation without permission from Alphamab Oncology

METHODS

A saturable tumor growth component and an E_{max} drug effect model ^[1] was fitted to data from NCI-N87 and Calu-3 xenograft models

$$\frac{dTV(t)}{dt} = KG * \left(1 - \frac{TV(t)}{TG50 + TV(t)}\right) * TV(t) - KD * \frac{Conc}{KC50 + Conc} * TV(t)$$

A literature-documented tumor growth equation ^[2] more relevant to the observed tumor growth dynamics in breast cancer patients was used to replace the tumor growth component in the developed tumor growth inhibition model for mice

$$\frac{dTV(t)}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_0 \times \left(1 - \frac{TV(t)}{V_{\text{max}}}\right)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1} \times TV(t)\right)^{\psi}\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \times TV(t) - KD \times \frac{Conc}{KC_{50} + Conc} \times TV(t)$$

Population pharmacokinetics and PK-tumor growth model (Figure 2) was fitted to human data to validate the finding from preclinical analysis



Simulations were next conducted to predict tumor size dynamics in humans under various KN026 regimens to inform dose selection

RESULTS

Figure 3: Goodness of fit for NCI-N87 Figure 4: Goodness of fit for population and Calu-3 xenograft model pharmacokinetic analysis in humans CFB vs. Predicted CFB 6e+05 4e+05 0e+00 2e+05 4e+05 6e+05 4e+05 6e+05 0e+00 2e+05 1500 Population predictions Predicted CFB (%) Individual predictions

The analysis from translational model indicates that KN026 trough concentration of 20 µg/mL is needed for tumor stasis while 78.1 μ g/mL is $\frac{5}{2}$ needed to achieve 95% tumor ₹ growth inhibition for lower tumor volume or fast growing tumors

Simulations for population PK and tumor dynamics in humans indicates that KN026 doses of 20 mg/kg Q2W or 30 mg/kg Q3W will be needed to achieve target concentration in more than 90% of simulated population and 30% tumor shrinkage in more than half of the simulated individuals. Loading doses will have the advantage of maximizing initial tumor killing

Figure 6: Concentration-time profile in candidate regimens (upper: 20 mg/kg Q2W; lower: 30 mg/kg Q3W)





RESULTS

Figure 5: Target KN026 concentration depended on tumor volume



Figure 7: Comparison of predicted sum of longitudinal diameter change from baseline across simulated regimens

CONCLUSIONS

The current analyses suggested that RP2Ds of KN026 in HER2-positive breast cancer patients were 20 mg/kg Q2W and 30 mg/kg Q3W. Loading doses were assessed to have the advantage of maximizing initial tumor killing

REFERENCES

1. Drug Metab. Dispos. 2013; 41: 727-734 2. JournalAAPS J. 2017; 19 (4): 1054-1070